INTERNAL AUDIT - AUDIT UPDATE

SUMMARY:

This report describes the work carried out by Internal Audit for quarter 4 and an overview of the work delivered for 2017/18.

RECOMMENDATION:

Members are requested to:

- i. Note the audit work carried out in quarter 4.
- ii. Note the overall deliverables for 2017/18.

1 Introduction

- 1.1 This report is to provide Members with:
 - An overview of the work completed by Internal Audit for guarter 3.
 - An overview of the audits completed for 2017/18 inline with the agreed audit plan.

2 Resources

- 2.1 The resources within the internal audit team have altered through out 2017/18. An Audit Manager was permanently appointed in September 2017. The newly appointed Audit Manager is currently on maternity leave and an interim cover for this position was in place.
- 2.2 At the end of March, the interim Audit Manager found alternative work leaving the post vacant. Contractors continue to be utilised to provide assistance for the delivery of the Internal Audit Plan.

3 Audit work – Q4 17/18

3.1 The following audit work has been carried out within quarter 4:

Work	Status	
FMS and Bank	A <i>reasonable assurance</i> opinion has been	
reconciliation	given to this area.	
	Findings are detailed within appendix A.	
Purchase Ledger	This audit is still at draft report stage as some queries have been identified with the findings within the report, which need to be reviewed further prior to providing an assurance opinion within this area.	

NNDR Billing and	A substantial assurance opinion has been
Collection	given to this area.
	Findings are detailed within appendix A.
Payroll	A <i>substantial assurance</i> opinion has been
	given to this area.
	Findings are detailed within appendix A.
IT Equipment	A <i>limited assurance</i> opinion has been given to this area.
	Findings are detailed within appendix A.
Community Asset follow	A follow up was carried out on the
up	recommendations made from the Community
	assets audit carried out in 2016/17.
	The findings from the follow up has changed
	the assurance opinion within this area from
	limited assurance to <i>reasonable assurance</i> .
	Findings are detailed within appendix A.
IT Network Security follow	A follow up was carried out on the
up	recommendations made from the IT network
	security audit carried out in 2016/17.
	The findings from the follow up result in a
	reasonable assurance remaining.
	Findings are detailed within appendix A.
Parking Machine Income	This follow up was deferred until 2018/19 to
follow up	allow for the new parking machines to be in
	place.
Depot (Capital project)	This audit is still in progress and will be carried
	forward to be completed within 2018/19.

3.2 Other deliverables:

Internal Audit have provided input and advice as part of the Risk Management Group which is overseeing the reconstitution of the Council's risk management processes and development of strategic and operational risk registers.

Input has also been provided to continuing work to ensure that the Council is set up to comply with the new General Data Protection Regulations (GDPR), which replaces the existing Data Protection Act from May 2018.

An investigation into allegations of a potential breach of data was also undertaken which concluded that there was no evidence that a breach by Council staff had occurred.

4 Overview of audit work carried out in 2017/18

4.1 The following audits and follow up's have been carried out within 2017/18:

Audit/ follow up	Assurance
FMS & Bank Reconciliation (Key financial system)	Reasonable
Purchase Ledger (Key financial system)	Draft report stage
NNDR Billing & collection (Key financial system)	Substantial
Payroll (Key financial system)	Substantial
Purchase of land & property	Limited
Contract letting & tendering	Reasonable
Transparency code	Limited
Cyber security	Reasonable
Card payments	Reasonable
Activation Aldershot (C/f from 2016/17)	Reasonable
IT portable equipment	Limited
HMRC follow up	Limited
External tenants follow up	Reasonable
Capital projects follow up	Reasonable
Farnborough & Aldershot markets follow up	Substantial
Community Assets follow up	Reasonable
IT network security follow up	Reasonable

5.2 From the table above the following audits/ follow up's were added to the 2017/18 audit plan during the year.

Audit/ follow up	Reason for adding to the audit plan
Card payments	This was reviewed as a result of a customer
	complaint received.
IT portable equipment	Concern was raised as to the asset management
	of IT portable equipment.
IT network security	Follow up missed off of the original plan.
follow up	

5.3 It was originally thought that the following audits could also be carried out within 17/18:

Service	Audit/ follow up	Notes
Planning	Planning applications	No concerns have been
		raised in this area.
Community	Weekly refuse and recycling	The waste contract has
	collection contract	recently been renewed.
		Therefore, deferring this
		review until the next financial
		year would allow time for the
		contract to be fully
		implemented prior to review.
Finance	Financial borrowing	The risk associated with this
		area would be low as the
		current level of borrowing is
		minimal and has only recently
		been utilised.

However, due to resource limitations, as detailed in section 2, and the additional higher risk audits and follow ups being carried out within 2017/18 as detailed above in 5.2, these were not commenced.

5.4 It should be noted that sufficient audit coverage has still been achieved within 2017/18 to enable the Audit Manager to provide an overall assurance opinion (AUD 18/03 report)

AUTHOR: Nikki Hughes, Audit Manager

01252 398810

nikki.hughes@rushmoor.gov.uk

HEAD OF SERVICE: Amanda Fahey

References: *Internal Audit – Audit Plan* report, presented to the Committee on the 27th March 2017

http://www.rushmoor.gov.uk/CHttpHandler.ashx?id=17541&p=0

Internal Audit – Update report, presented to the Committee on the 26th June 2017

https://democracy.rushmoor.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?Cld=166&Mld=256&Ver=4

Internal Audit – Update report, presented to the Committee on the 25th September 2017

https://democracy.rushmoor.gov.uk/documents/s2990/September%202017%20-%20Audit%20update.pdf

Internal Audit – Update report, presented to the Committee on the 29th January 2018

https://democracy.rushmoor.gov.uk/documents/s3505/Item%207%20Internal%20Au dit%20update.pdf

Appendix A

Audit Title	Financial Management System (FMS) 9 Penk Bees	noiliation	
	Financial Management System (FMS) & Bank Reconciliation		
Year of Audit	2017/18 Residential designed to achieve the system for residential and achieve the system for r		
Assurance given	Reasonable - Basic controls designed to achieve the system/function/process objectives, are in place. Improvements are required if key controls are to be established.		
Overview of findings	There continues to be sound controls in place in respect of the Council's FMS and bank reconciliation. Recommendations have been made relating to the improvement of documented procedures, budget monitoring and strengthening user access management processes. Two recommendations from the previous audit review remain outstanding in respect of streamlining supporting records to the system and bank reconciliations and Managers complying with the need to provide notifications of internal staff changes that may affect system access requirements.		
Priority	Key findings	Way forward agreed	
Low	Security of Data All manual files are held in filing cupboards which are lockable however there is no system in place for locking these at night and holding the keys elsewhere.	This has been reviewed as part of the corporate GDPR project and a key safe with combination lock has now been introduced in the department. The Finance Manager is responsible for ensuring relevant staff have the code for the safe and are locking filing cabinets each evening. Officer responsible: Alan Gregory (Finance Manager)	
Medium	Procedures Currently procedures are in place for some of the key functions such as bank reconciliations but not for others, such as VAT.	Review procedures and ensure key processes are documented Officer responsible: Alan Gregory (Finance Manager)	
Medium	Review of Integra Users There is no formal review of Integra users to check whether access still required or set at the appropriate level.	Investigate the possibility of designing a Crystal report to pick up user, authorisation limits and menu access for annual review by Heads of Service Officer responsible: Alan Gregory (Finance Manager)	

	System Access	Form to be updated for future use
	A user authorisation form signed by the relevant	Ensure signed form on file for all users
Medium	Head of Service was not on file in all cases to support	
Wicalam	the current list of users. The form had not been	Officer responsible: Alan Gregory (Finance Manager)/
	subject to revision for some time and did not reflect	Morag McVey (Payments & Insurance Manager)
	current access levels available on the system. System Access	User Authorisation forms to be updated in line with
	The User Authorisation form completed by Heads of	current user access rights.
Low	Service is outdated and does not reflect current user	g
	access rights available in Integra.	Officer responsible: Alan Gregory (Finance Manager)
	Miscoding of VAT	Where appropriate, provide feedback to managers
	The VAT accountant, in the main, takes responsibility	where miscoding takes place to aid their
Low	for correcting VAT miscoding rather than passing	understanding.
	back to the service to rectify.	Officer reaponable: Pohocoa Caldinatt (Accountant)
		Officer responsible: Rebecca Caldicott (Accountant)
	VAT	Accept risk. Monitor for error and feedback to
	Some services use standard templates, which may	Manager as appropriate.
Low	be pre-populated with the incorrect codes, for raising	Officer recognition Debases Coldinate (Accountant)
	regular invoices and this may be the cause for the incorrect coding of VAT.	Officer responsible: Rebecca Caldicott (Accountant)
	VAT Procedure Notes	Procedure notes to be written.
	There are no documented procedure notes regarding	Another accountant to be trained to shadow the VAT
Medium	the monthly check of VAT codes using the Crystal	return process and the monthly check.
	report and one Accountant has expert knowledge	Officer responsible: Rebecca Caldicott (Accountant)
	regarding all matters relating to VAT.	Officer responsible. Resecta Caldicoli (Accountant)
	Holding Accounts	All holding Accounts to be subject to sign off
Low	One holding account selected for review had not	055
	been signed off at year-end.	Officer responsible: Alan Gregory (Finance Manager)

Low	Suspense Account Balance Suspense account 9336 contains a number of historic balances which are unlikely to be cleared.	Aged, unidentified items should be cleared. However, this will not improve accuracy of budget monitoring as it is unlikely that we can now identify the correct coding for these balances. All new suspense items are cleared on a regular basis to the correct codes. Officer responsible: Alan Gregory (Finance Manager)/ Morag McVey (Payments & Insurance Manager)
Medium	Timeliness of Reconciliations Three out of eight reconciliations between April and December 2017 had been undertaken in excess of 20 working days after the last day of the previous month end and one independent sign off had taken place 34 days after the reconciliation.	Timing of reconciliations to be reviewed – major reconciliations to be identified and carried out in a timely manner (two weeks) but balance risk of accuracy against size of account/scale of risk. Recognition that some quarters may have other work pressures, and a longer time-frame may be acceptable, however final quarter always to be completed in a timely manner for final accounts deadline. Officer responsible: Alan Gregory (Finance Manager)
Low	Budget Monitoring There is currently no standard format for Budget Managers and Accountants to follow during their monitoring meetings or a template that needs to be completed/ signed to demonstrate that specific requirements have been met and any errors identified and amended.	All Budget Holders are supplied with budget monitoring reports and salary data in the same format. Heads of Service agree final reports at CLT. Longer term project to automate part of the monitoring process could contain some sort of sign off process and standardised working but this is not a priority for the service given the low level of risk. Officer responsible: Alan Gregory (Finance Manager)

	Supporting Records	Low risk level – not high priority for the service.
Previous audit recommendation	Some progress has been made to streamline the reconciliation supporting records to electronic format, although there remains scope in this area, primarily for cash receipting and bank reconciliations.	Officer responsible: Alan Gregory (Finance Manager)
Previous audit recommendation	Staff Changes Service managers were not always notifying the Payments and Insurance Manager of staff changes which affected their system access.	HR provide a list of starters and leavers/changes to the Payment and Insurance Manager who then takes appropriate action Officer responsible: Morag McVey (Payments & Insurance Manager)

Priority key for way forwards	
High priority	A fundamental weakness in the system/area that puts the Authority at risk. To be addressed as a matter of
	urgency.
Medium priority	A moderate weakness within the system/area that leaves the system/area open to risk.
Low priority	A minor weakness in the system/area or a desirable improvement to the system/area.

Audit Title	NNDR Billing and Collection		
Year of Audit	2017/18		
Assurance given	Substantial - Key controls designed to achieve the system/function/process objectives are in place. There are opportunities to enhance/strengthen these controls.		
Overview of findings	A substantial assurance audit opinion reflects that the system continues to operate effectively with sound controls in place. This encompasses key areas such as annual billing, collection of monies owed, refunds, reconciliations and submissions to Central Government.		
	The NNDR processes are in the early stages of a 'systems thinking' review and processes and procedures will be updated to reflect the outcomes of this.		
	The systems thinking approach has also recently been applied to the inspections process to ensure that they are effectively focussed and risk-based so that income is maximised for the council. The review has just been completed and the updated approach is ready to be implemented.		
	A number of minor recommendations have been made	to strengthen processes further.	
Priority	Key findings	Way forward agreed	
	Local Taxation Manual The Manual is in place but with recent structure changes the processes detailed may not be up to date.	Council Tax Core Team has a revised manual which is based around their core procedures. Once system thinking reviews have been completed for business rates and the inspection process then the	
Low		manual will be overhauled. Officer responsible: David May (Principle Revenues	
		and Benefits Officer)	
Medium	Systems Thinking Review A systems thinking review is due to be completed for	Now that we have the key staff in place we can move forward with the review.	

	NNDR but there is no formal timetable setting out key stages, milestones, etc.	A timetable will be put together with key milestones and a structured framework. Officer responsible: David May (Principle Revenues and Benefits Officer) & Erin Edwards (Business Rates
	iWorld System Access There are Council Tax officers with unnecessary full access to the NNDR system, from a time when they were part of the Core Team.	Technical Officer) Officers in the Council Tax Core Team will have their access to NNDR (Business Rates) system as view only.
Low	·	They still need access to view in case they do receive any calls from Business Rates customers that they can answer. Officer responsible: David May (Principle Revenues and Benefits Officer)
Medium	End / Review dates Our testing of reliefs and exemptions identified that End / Review dates were not recorded for most accounts and these accounts will not appear on future weekly 'Events' list for each relevant officer and prompt follow up / action.	We have carried out an review exercise where we targeted certain types of discounts/reliefs and visited these properties or carried out a paper review. Officers are responsible for their own cases and should have diary events to monitor their cases. However, we will incorporate this into our NNDR review.
		Officer responsible: David May (Principle Revenues and Benefits Officer) & Erin Edwards (Business Rates Technical Officer)

Medium	Inspections a) A Systems Thinking review has just been completed to provide a more focussed and risk-based approached, in particular in view of the future 100% retention of rates proposed by Central Government. Although processes for this new approach will evolve, procedures need to be produced to support these.	The review has been completed and we are now monitoring performance to ensure the new process is working for us. For example, generating income and mitigating losses – once satisfied, procedure notes will follow. Officer responsible: David May (Principle Revenues and Benefits Officer) & John Corrie (Revenues
Medium	b) There is no standard inspection routine for all NNDR reliefs and exemptions including empty properties. There were a few accounts sampled that held the narrative 'inspection outstanding' on the iWorld system	Inspector)
Low	Credit Balances There is currently no formal monitoring of credit balances although the largest account (£91,300) had been identified for action.	A review will be carried out on the remaining credit balances on account. Officer responsible: Erin Edwards (Business Rates Technical Officer) & Susan Willmott (Local Taxation Officer)
Low	Suspense Account There is good monitoring of the current year's items but once the financial year is complete, there is no further review and balances remain. It was identified that there were various values dating back longer than 10 years.	From now on, we will check the suspense account for previous years. However, when the previous year has passed, receipts won't be posted to previous years so all we will be able to check is payments that we have previously been unable to identify to see whether any further information has come to light. Officer responsible: Erin Edwards (Business Rates Technical Officer)

Audit Title	Payroll		
Year of Audit	2017/18		
Assurance given	Substantial - Key controls designed to achieve the system/function/process objectives are in place. There are opportunities to enhance/strengthen these controls.		
Overview of Findings	Testing demonstrated that good audit trails with clear supporting documentation were found to be in place for starters, leavers, payroll amendments, payments processing and reconciliations. Since the previous audit review, a new on-line process for claims for overtime and car mileage has been introduced which has strengthened the control environment. Responsibility now lies solely with managers to assess and approve claims on-line.		
	Although, sickness records continue to be submitted manually, it was noted that from April 2018 sickness would be recorded on-line with Manager approval. A number of minor recommendations have been made to strengthen processes further.		
Priority	Key findings	Way forward agreed	
Low	Procedure Notes Procedures have/are being developed by the Payroll function. These could be more detailed in the areas suggested in the audit report.	Notes continue to be developed as process changes and following feedback. Officer responsible: Julia Gower (HR & Payroll Officer) & Di Cordina (HR & Payroll Officer)	
Medium	Diary System for Future Actions Currently a manual diary is used for recording future amendments to be made in CHRIS, as there is currently no facility in CHRIS to set up automatic reminders.	Explore the use of the HR calendar in Outlook and investigate Chris to see if end dating temporary contracts / other temporary changes are feasible. Officer responsible: Estelle Rigby (Principal HR Officer)	

Low	Grade Change Amendments testing highlighted that, in one case, where a grade increase had been applied, there had been incorrect action taken regarding an existing honorarium and new entitlement to an essential car allowance.	On implementation of a grade change, any standing amendments to current pay such as allowances and honorariums will be reviewed to ensure that overpayments are not made. Develop and introduce a change form for completion by the manager to inform of changes to pay. Officer responsible: Estelle Rigby (Principal HR Officer)
Low	Amendments to Standing Data The records retained which show updates made to standing data in CHRIS are not signed to indicate who made an independent internal check of the data entered/amended.	The records retained which show updates to standing data in CHRIS will be signed and dated to indicate who made an independent internal check of the data entered/amended. Tax & NI checks at the start of the financial year, checks on L code, K code, and NI letters A & C. Officer responsible: Julia Gower (HR & Payroll Officer) & Di Cordina (HR & Payroll Officer)
Medium	BACS Submission Rights Currently only the two Payroll Officers have BACS submission rights.	An additional member of staff to be identified from Finance who could submit BACS in absence of the payroll officers. Officer responsible: Estelle Rigby (Principal HR Officer)
Low	Submission of Expenses The Way Forward from the 2015/16 audit relating to the timely submission of staff expenses has not been addressed fully in that, although a reminder had been issued, a timescale had not been agreed and communicated.	A communication to go out to all managers / staff with recommended timescales for submitting staff expense claims. Officer responsible: Estelle Rigby (Principal HR Officer)

Audit Title	IT Equipment		
Year of Audit	2017/18		
Assurance given	Limited - Minimal controls designed to achieve the system/function/process objectives, are in place. Significant improvements are required if key controls are to be established.		
Overview of findings	A limited assurance opinion reflected that controls in place for asset managing mobile phones assigned to staff were in need of significant improvement. Records held by the IT Service Desk and the phone network provider did not always reflect current users with a number of phones referenced against ex-employees. This is in part due to historical inaccuracies in the records transferred to the Service desk and Departments not complying with the Mobile Phone Policy in terms of recycling existing phones through IT. The Council does however use a mobile device management system to monitor and locate smartphone devices. Controls relating to the issue of tablet devices and laptops were operating effectively. Recommendations have been made to carry out a full inventory check on Council phones, reconstitution of the database of users and correction of on line billing references. The Mobile Phone Policy also needs to be updated and issued to all new and existing users.		
Priority	Key findings	Way forward agreed	
Medium	Inventory Check A full physical inventory check should be undertaken by IT Service Desk of all mobile phones owned by the Council. The database of users and assets held by IT should be reconstituted referencing each phone to an individual and accurate sim record. Some form of annual review should also be undertaken to ensure that phones issued were still required and assigned to the right user.	Full inventory check & database reconstituted as recommended. Officer responsible: Nigel Swan (IT Technical Services Manager)	
Low	Updating Vodafone Billing Reference Once an inventory check has been undertaken and the database of phones reconstituted, phone references for Vodafone itemised billing should be	This will be implemented following inventory check and reconstitution of the database. Officer responsible: Nigel Swan (IT Technical	

	updated online against the correct employee and maintained.	Services Manager)
Medium	Return of Mobile Phone The former employee should be contacted to return the phone that was issued with immediate effect	Employee to be contacted to return the phone or be invoiced for the market value of the phone. Officer responsible: Nick Harding (Head of IT and Facilities)
Low	Leavers Checklist As part of the leaver process, HR should add an entry to the existing leaver's checklist prompting the return of assigned equipment if applicable.	Actioned per recommendation Officer: responsible: Rachael Gray (HR Manager)
Medium	Mobile Phone Policy The Mobile phone policy should be reviewed and updated to reflect current requirements	Mobile phone policy will be updated and reviewed. Officer responsible: Nigel Swan (IT Technical Services Manager)
Low	Mobile Phone Policy Issue The Mobile phone policy should be issued to all existing users of a Council mobile phone and with all newly issued mobile phones	Agreed. This will be implemented following revision of the Mobile Phone Policy referenced above. Officer responsible: Nigel Swan (IT Technical Services Manager)

Audit Title	Community Assets follow up		
Year of Audit	2017/18		
Assurance given	Limited - Minimal controls designed to achieve the system/function/process objectives, are in place.		
at time of the audit			,
Assurance given	Reasonable - Basic controls designed to	o achieve the system/function/process obje	ectives, are in place.
at time of the	Improvements are required if key control	ls are to be established.	
follow up			
Overview of	Audit work carried out in April 2016 ident	tified a number of isolated issues where iss	ues had occurred between
findings		ent of community assets. Subsequent to th	
		ompliance appear to have been adhered to	
		hanges in lease arrangement since the original	
		inal audit report, which relate to the location	n of the budgets for
	community assets and which department should be the custodian.		
Priority	Way forward agreed	Follow up findings	Recommendation status
	'Community, Legal and Finance will	On enquiry, this continues to operate as	
	agree, at the start of the lease/lease	agreed.	
	changes/new tenant, the		Completed
	requirements'. The details agreed will		Completed
	be documented and held by Legal		
	Services'.		
	'Legal Services will hold all relevant	Legal Services hold details when they	
	documentation relating to Community	are made aware of who is the building.	_
	Assets and the leases/maintenance'.	Community have notified Legal Services	Completed
		of all the leases/maintenance that are in	
		operation.	
	'Changes to the scheme of delegation	The Solicitor of the Council has	
	would be required so that the Head of	authority under the scheme has	
	Community and Environment in	delegated powers to agree leases. This	Completed
	conjunction with Estates and Financial	would be sufficient if Legal Services	
	Services can agree leasing community assets'.	were to be designated custodians of	
	a>>ti> .	community assets as recommended.	

'The booking forms and legal agreements for letting out facilities w be reviewed by Legal Services'.	The Head of Community & Environment confirmed that all forms and legal agreements are sent to Legal Services for review.	Completed
'The Head of Community and Environment and the Solicitor to the Council agreed that Legal Services would be better suited as the Custodians of the community assets that all are reported under Corporate Services'.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Underway
'The Head of Community and Environment will work with the Head Financial Services to review the community assets budgets and incor with a view to potentially passing the budgets to Building Services'.	budgets between cost centres but also between portfolios in the summary	Underway

Audit Title	IT Network Security follow up			
Year of Audit	2017/18			
Assurance given	Reasonable - Basic controls designed to achieve the system/function/process objectives, are in place.			
at time of the audit	Improvements are required if key control			
Assurance given	_	o achieve the system/function/process obje	ectives, are in place.	
at time of the	Improvements are required if key control	ls are to be established.		
follow up				
Overview of	•	art of the original audit review issued in Ma		
findings		ented relating to the strengthening of patch		
		bilities. Progress has been made in address		
		hich was to schedule and test the recovery	• •	
		sign and implementation of a new backup		
	being stored in a cloud environment. Once this has been completed, testing of data recovery and security			
	incident plans can be undertaken. This is expected to be achieved by the end of June 2018. Work is also			
	underway to review the Acceptable Use	of IT Policy as recommended.		
	Way forward agreed Follow up findings Recommendation status			
Priority	Way forward agreed	Follow up findings	Recommendation status	
Priority	A number of older Microsoft patches	The Council has procured the Nessus	Recommendation status	
Priority	A number of older Microsoft patches (2015/16) have yet been deployed. A	The Council has procured the <i>Nessus</i> tool, which is a piece of detective	Recommendation status	
Priority	A number of older Microsoft patches (2015/16) have yet been deployed. A secondary control should be devised to	The Council has procured the <i>Nessus</i> tool, which is a piece of detective software that is able to run a check	Recommendation status	
Priority	A number of older Microsoft patches (2015/16) have yet been deployed. A secondary control should be devised to catch MS patches not managed via	The Council has procured the Nessus tool, which is a piece of detective software that is able to run a check across an estate of systems/servers to		
Priority	A number of older Microsoft patches (2015/16) have yet been deployed. A secondary control should be devised to	The Council has procured the Nessus tool, which is a piece of detective software that is able to run a check across an estate of systems/servers to identify if any security vulnerabilities are	Recommendation status Completed	
Priority	A number of older Microsoft patches (2015/16) have yet been deployed. A secondary control should be devised to catch MS patches not managed via	The Council has procured the Nessus tool, which is a piece of detective software that is able to run a check across an estate of systems/servers to identify if any security vulnerabilities are present. It then informs IT Services what		
Priority	A number of older Microsoft patches (2015/16) have yet been deployed. A secondary control should be devised to catch MS patches not managed via	The Council has procured the Nessus tool, which is a piece of detective software that is able to run a check across an estate of systems/servers to identify if any security vulnerabilities are present. It then informs IT Services what patches are missing from the servers in		
Priority	A number of older Microsoft patches (2015/16) have yet been deployed. A secondary control should be devised to catch MS patches not managed via	The Council has procured the Nessus tool, which is a piece of detective software that is able to run a check across an estate of systems/servers to identify if any security vulnerabilities are present. It then informs IT Services what patches are missing from the servers in question so that action can be taken to		
Priority	A number of older Microsoft patches (2015/16) have yet been deployed. A secondary control should be devised to catch MS patches not managed via WSUS.	The Council has procured the <i>Nessus</i> tool, which is a piece of detective software that is able to run a check across an estate of systems/servers to identify if any security vulnerabilities are present. It then informs IT Services what patches are missing from the servers in question so that action can be taken to remove the exposure.		
Priority	A number of older Microsoft patches (2015/16) have yet been deployed. A secondary control should be devised to catch MS patches not managed via WSUS. The Acceptable Use of IT Policy (AUP)	The Council has procured the Nessus tool, which is a piece of detective software that is able to run a check across an estate of systems/servers to identify if any security vulnerabilities are present. It then informs IT Services what patches are missing from the servers in question so that action can be taken to remove the exposure. The Network Manager with input from		
Priority	A number of older Microsoft patches (2015/16) have yet been deployed. A secondary control should be devised to catch MS patches not managed via WSUS. The Acceptable Use of IT Policy (AUP) and all connected IT appendices	The Council has procured the Nessus tool, which is a piece of detective software that is able to run a check across an estate of systems/servers to identify if any security vulnerabilities are present. It then informs IT Services what patches are missing from the servers in question so that action can be taken to remove the exposure. The Network Manager with input from the HR Manager is currently finalising a		
Priority	A number of older Microsoft patches (2015/16) have yet been deployed. A secondary control should be devised to catch MS patches not managed via WSUS. The Acceptable Use of IT Policy (AUP) and all connected IT appendices should be updated to include a revision	The Council has procured the <i>Nessus</i> tool, which is a piece of detective software that is able to run a check across an estate of systems/servers to identify if any security vulnerabilities are present. It then informs IT Services what patches are missing from the servers in question so that action can be taken to remove the exposure. The Network Manager with input from the HR Manager is currently finalising a review of the AUP. Once a review has		
Priority	A number of older Microsoft patches (2015/16) have yet been deployed. A secondary control should be devised to catch MS patches not managed via WSUS. The Acceptable Use of IT Policy (AUP) and all connected IT appendices should be updated to include a revision date. Subsequent review to be	The Council has procured the Nessus tool, which is a piece of detective software that is able to run a check across an estate of systems/servers to identify if any security vulnerabilities are present. It then informs IT Services what patches are missing from the servers in question so that action can be taken to remove the exposure. The Network Manager with input from the HR Manager is currently finalising a review of the AUP. Once a review has been completed, it is intended to	Completed	
Priority	A number of older Microsoft patches (2015/16) have yet been deployed. A secondary control should be devised to catch MS patches not managed via WSUS. The Acceptable Use of IT Policy (AUP) and all connected IT appendices should be updated to include a revision	The Council has procured the <i>Nessus</i> tool, which is a piece of detective software that is able to run a check across an estate of systems/servers to identify if any security vulnerabilities are present. It then informs IT Services what patches are missing from the servers in question so that action can be taken to remove the exposure. The Network Manager with input from the HR Manager is currently finalising a review of the AUP. Once a review has	Completed	

	understood the requirements of the policy.	
Critical application data restore testing to be implemented. Regular (i.e. quarterly) data restore testing to be scheduled.	a solution is near completion using Veeam Enterprise. The solution entails data backups with long-term lifespans being encrypted and stored in a cloud environment. The detailed design is almost complete and the solution is due to be finalised in early April. Upon completion critical application testing can be scheduled and tested.	Underway
A Security Incident Response Plan should be reviewed and tested prior to February 2018.	In terms of business continuity, a desktop exercise is planned for April in conjunction with the Council's risk management lead.	Underway